

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/780,306 02/17/2004		5000-1-536	9737		
12/07/2005		EXAMINER			
CHA & REITER, LLC			HUGHES, DEANDRA M		
E 103	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				
PARAMUS, NJ 07652			TALERIONIBER		
			HUGHES, DE		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/780,30	6	LEE ET AL.						
		Examiner		Art Unit						
		Deandra M	. Hughes	3663						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1\⊠	7. Bear analyse to communication(s) filed on 46 Neysomber 2005									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 November 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.									
,—										
3/二	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 435 C.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.									
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are objected to.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/780,306 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Invention I with traverse in the reply filed on 11/16/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Further, applicant failed to make the additional Species Election required upon the election of Invention I. However, during a telephone conversation with Steve Cha on 12/5/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute Invention I-Species A, claims 1-11. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action wherein applicant must specifically point out the supposed errors in the election requirement, otherwise the election will continue to be treated as an election without traverse. Claims 12-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ono (JP 04285919A published Oct. 12, 1992).

Application/Control Number: 10/780,306

Art Unit: 3663

\*\*The references made herein are done so for the convenience of the applicant.

They are in no way intended to be limiting. The prior art should be considered in its entirety.

With regard to claim 1, Ono discloses a semiconductor optical device (fig. 1) comprising of:

- a first conductive semiconductor substrate (#7);
- a semiconductor optical amplifier (#1) formed on the semiconductor substrate (monolithically integrated; abstract line 3) so as to have a horizontal-direction lasing structure (the emitting waveguides #1a and #1b in the horizontal direction);
- and a first (#4) and a second photodetector (#5) formed respectively at positions of the semiconductor substrate spaced horizontally from an input side (#2 is incident side branching part) and an output side (#3 is emitting side branching part) of the semiconductor optical amplifier so as to measure intensities of an input signal and an output signal of the semiconductor optical amplifier (#4 and #5 are photodetectors; photodetectors measure light intensity).

The Examiner considers the claim language identified in italics above to be a functional limitation, i.e. intended use. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function alone. Since the structural

Art Unit: 3663

limitations have been met by the prior art, the Examiner has reason to believe that the function limitation can be performed by the prior art structure. See MPEP 2114.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The prior art does not teach or make obvious a ridge waveguide type semiconductor optical amplifier in conjunction with the other features of the claim.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aoyama, Forrest, Gonda, Koren'344, Koren '671, Koren '469, Mersali, Miki, Wang, and Yoon disclose photodetectors integrated on a substrate with an semiconductor optical amplifier.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deandra M. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6982. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/780,306

**Art Unit: 3663** 

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deandra M Hughes

Page 5

Examiner
Art Unit 3663